

# Malphamoon

# **Memory Enhanced Document-level Joint Entity and Relation Extraction**

## Witold Kościukiewicz<sup>1,2</sup> Mateusz Wójcik<sup>1,2</sup> Tomasz Kajdanowicz<sup>2</sup> Adam Gonczarek<sup>1</sup>

Alphamoon Ltd., Grabarska 1, 50-072 Wrocław <sup>2</sup>Wroclaw University of Science and Technology **Contact:** witold.kosciukiewicz@alphamoon.ai

## Problem

Joint entity and relation extraction can be treated as a text-to-graph, task composed of four NLP subtasks:

 mention detection coreference resolution • entity classification

relation classification

## **Memory Enhanced Representation**

Based on writable Memory M and learnable memory reading weights W we propose two methods of altering input H using memory as in [2].

*Normal* memory read:  $\text{Read}_{\text{norm}}(\mathbf{H}, \mathbf{M}) = \text{softmax}(\mathbf{H}\mathbf{W}\mathbf{M}^{\top})\mathbf{M}$ 



We propose a **deep learning method** based on **memory**-like modules enhancing input representation, resulting in better multi-task learning process. Solving this problem on the **document-level** demands additional, intra-sentence inference based on coreferring pieces of information in a **different part of the document**. The result is a graph of spans from the text that represents mentions, grouped in coreference clusters, typed, and connected to each other by relations.



Figure 1: Document-level Joint entity and relation extraction as text to graph problem.

### Model

We used multi-task learning architecture for joint entity and relation extraction proposed in [1], which processes tasks in a pipeline - one after another.

#### *Inverse* memory read: $\text{Read}_{\text{inv}}(\mathbf{H}, \mathbf{M}) = \sum_{i=1}^{|\mathbf{M}|} \text{softmax}(\mathbf{M}_{i}\mathbf{W}\mathbf{H}^{\top})\mathbf{M}$

#### **Pooling methods:**

• *mean* pooling for *inverse* read;

• concatenation for *normal* read;



Figure 3: Memory read module used to enhance input representation

## **Memory Write Operation**

Memory stores learnable representations used to classify entities and relations instances using bilinear similarity S between input  $\mathbf{E}$  and memory  $\mathbf{M}$ .

**Class distribution for entity**  $e_i$ :

 $p(e_i) = softmax(S(\mathbf{E}_{e_i}, \mathbf{M}^{\mathbf{E}}))$ 

**Existance of relation**  $r_{i,j}$  between entities *i* and *j*:



#### We improve and extend it by:

• introducing custom and writable memory matrix;

• backward passing of knowledge to earlier tasks from the latter by reading memory to alter the representations of tokens and mentions;



 $p(\mathbf{r}_{i,j}) = \text{sigmoid}(S(\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{r}_{i,j}}, \mathbf{M}^{\mathbf{R}}))$ 

Figure 4: Memory write module using bilinear similarity classifier input E and memory M content

## **Experimental setup**

We conducted several experiments trying different architecture parameters such as memory size and memory warmup to evaluate quality of proposed method. We trained and evaluated our model on **DocRED**[3]: dataset (*Human-annotated*) following the same train-test split as in [1].

#### **Dataset:**

- DocRED (*Human-annotated*) dataset:
- 5053 documents;
- 5 entity types;
- 96 relation types;

#### **Experiments**:

• Adam optimizer; • 5e-5 learning rate with 0.1 Linear Warmup; • batch size: 2; • repeated for 5 different random seeds;

## **Experiments results**

To compare proposed method to reproduced results from [1] we used F1-score (micro) on each of 4 subtasks. Presented results are based on 5 separate runs with standard deviation





Figure 2: Proposed model architecture.

provided.

Model	<b>Rel. F1-score</b>	Ent. F1-score	Coref. F1-score	Men. F1-score
JEREX ( <i>GRC</i> ) [1]	$38.65 \pm 0.13$	$79.66 \pm 0.26$	$82.35 \pm 0.25$	$92.54 \pm 0.13$
ours (GRC)	$\textbf{38.91} \pm 0.15$	$\textbf{79.72} \pm 0.06$	$\textbf{82.43} \pm 0.06$	$92.63 \pm 0.12$
JEREX (MRC) [1]	$\textbf{39.93} \pm 0.47$	$79.68 \pm 0.20$	$82.41 \pm 0.17$	$92.54 \pm 0.12$
ours (MRC)	$39.89 \pm 0.27$	79.71 ±0.31	$\textbf{82.42} \pm 0.28$	92.77 ±0.17

#### References

- [1] Markus Eberts and Adrian Ulges. An end-to-end model for entity-level relation extraction using multi-instance learning. arXiv preprint arXiv:2102.05980, 2021.
- [2] Yongliang Shen, Xinyin Ma, Yechun Tang, and Weiming Lu. A trigger-sense memory flow framework for joint entity and relation extraction. In Proceedings of the web conference 2021, pages 1704–1715, 2021.
- [3] Yuan Yao, Deming Ye, Peng Li, Xu Han, Yankai Lin, Zhenghao Liu, Zhiyuan Liu, Lixin Huang, Jie Zhou, and Maosong Sun. Docred: A large-scale document-level relation extraction dataset. arXiv preprint arXiv:1906.06127, 2019.